**PLANNING COMMITTEE 9th June 2022**

**PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND OTHER ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION**

Below are draft responses to the Planning Committee items which were scheduled to be viewed on the 9th June 2022.

**Verdicts:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Number** | **Address** | **Verdict** |
| 221527 | 241 Grandstand Road | **OBJECTION!** Councillors agreed that there is not enough room on the street for such a right turning, putting both drivers and pedestrians at risk. Though there were other residential properties in the area, Councillors were in agreement that these dropped kerbs on tight streets should be dissuaded from unless a vehicle can reliably enter and exit a parking space in first gear. |
| 220123 | 99 - 100 East Street | No Objection. |
| 221677/8 | Unit 1, Auctioneer Walk, Old Market | 221677: **OBJECTION!** Councillors felt it would not be fair for one business to have priority parking in high town, while all other businesses currently still need to find their own method of parking or commuting to their premises. Therefore, Councillors disagreed that M&M Direct should be allowed the top floor of the New Marketing Parking Development, unless it was with a condition that staff pay a contribution towards having a parking space there. As parking is in such high demand in Hereford, and so many businesses are unable to provide parking for their employees, Councillors could not justify this change.  221678: No Objection. |
| 221408 | 34 Penn Grove Road | No Objection. |
| 220875 | 1 Barton Road | No Objection. |
| 221620 | 85 St Martins Street | **OBJECTION!** Councillors noted that this LED Digital Display would be disruptive to road traffic and is situated on a very busy road junction which often suffers from congestion and collisions. At this size, the display would also not be warranted as the area is a conservation zone, where distractions such as this would detract from the area. |
| 221375 | 10 Moor Farm Lane | No Objection. |
| 221541 | St Johns Cottage, Belmont | No Objection in principle, though Councillors recommended an appropriate condition; that should the application be approved, the land in future cannot be divided to create two separate boundaries between the current residence and the future one. The two properties must exist as part of the same land plot. |
| 220538 | 237 Whitecross Road | **OBJECTION!** Councillors noted that the proposed parking area was far too small to allow vehicles to safely enter and exit from Whitecross Road. Vehicles would not have an adequate view of oncoming traffic. Councillors did agree with the change of the staircase, as this has been noted as being very narrow and difficult to climb, and had no issue with the changes to the cellar. |
| 221602 | Land at Whitecross Road | No Objection, though Councillors felt that the proposed telecommunications pole should not be the eyeline of the entire street. As it has such a high elevation, Councillors would like to ask if there is any way of lowering or lessening the impact of the telecommunications pole, before giving approval. |
| 221345 | 16 Priory Place | No Objection in principle, though Councillors found the proposed property too overpowering to the street scene and believe several alterations would make for a more acceptable property. First, that the property should only be two stories high, so as not to overshadow neighbouring properties. Second, that the property be set further back, having more of the garden at the frontage of the property. Third, that the orientation of the property should be considered to lessen any impact from the street. |
| 221417 | Flat 1, 102 East Street | No Objection. |
| 221525 | Mouse Castle, 16 Old Eign Hill | **OBJECTION!** Not enough information has been included about the vast amount of works which have been proposed. Though the applicant has given a breakdown of what they intend to do to each tree, there has been no information about why and if these trees are causing any issues or problems on the property. Adequate image files have also not been included, and Councillors would need to see the extent of the works in order to measure whether the works are justified. A tree surgeon’s report would also be highly recommended. Works which involve pruning are generally agreeable, but where a tree is felled there must be a demonstratable reason why. |
| St Michael Hospice – Sue Parry Tournament | Hereford Leisure Centre playing fields  Holmer Road  Hereford  HR4 9UD | No Objection. |